

2004 JUL -8 P 3: 10

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 7-15-04

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20463

July 8, 2004

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkon

Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton

General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith Associate General Counsel

Brad C. Deutsch

Assistant General Counsel

Ron B. Katwan

Attorney

SUBJECT: AO 2004-20 – Alternative Drafts

Attached are two proposed drafts of Advisory Opinion 2004-20, which responds to a request from Farrell for Congress, the principal campaign committee for Diane Farrell. Farrell for Congress seeks the Commission's determination whether, in light of a recent change in Connecticut's law governing parties' nominating procedures, party conventions in Connecticut continue to be elections for purposes of the Act.

Draft A concludes that party conventions in Connecticut are no longer elections for purposes of the Act because the new Connecticut law permits candidates to obtain a place on the primary ballot without participating in the convention and, therefore, conventions no longer have the authority to nominate candidates.

Draft B concludes that party conventions in Connecticut continue to be elections under the Act because under the new Connecticut law it remains possible for a candidate who was endorsed by the party convention to be deemed the party's lawfully chosen nominee and, therefore, conventions continue to have the authority to nominate candidates.

Memorandum to the Commission AO 2004-20 – Alternative Drafts Page 2

We request that these drafts be placed on the agenda for July 15, 2004.

Attachments
Drafts A and B

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2004-20
3	Mr. Adam Wood
4	Diane Farrell for Congress P.O. Box 5136 Westport, CT 06881-5136
5	P.O. Box 5136
6	Westport, CT 06881-5136
7 8	Dear Mr. Wood:
9 10	This responds to your letter, dated June 3, 2004, on behalf of Farrell for
11	Congress, requesting an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
12	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and
13	Commission regulations to the treatment of Connecticut party conventions as
14	elections.
15	Background
16	Diane Farrell is the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of
17	Representatives from Connecticut's 4 <sup>th</sup> Congressional District. Farrell for
18	Congress is Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee. The Democratic Party
19	in Connecticut held its convention for the U.S. House on May 10, 2004. The
20	primary elections for all of Connecticut, including primaries for Federal offices,
21	are scheduled to be held on August 10, 2004. However, because the Democratic
22	Party has endorsed Ms. Farrell as its candidate for the 4 <sup>th</sup> Congressional District
23	and no other member of the Democratic Party filed a petition for candidacy by the
24	statutory deadline, Ms. Farrell is the Democratic Party's nominee and her name
25	will not appear on the primary election ballot.
26	Until January 1, 2004, Connecticut law provided that if a candidate
27	received the endorsement of his or her party at the state party's convention, and if
	Farrell for Congress filed a pre-convention report with the Commission on April 28, 2004.

1 no other candidate received at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote at the 2 convention, then no primary would be held for that office and the party-endorsed 3 candidate would be deemed to have been lawfully chosen as the party's nominee. 4 Connecticut Gen. Stat. sections 9-400, 9-416 (2002). In 1976, the Commission 5 considered the status of Connecticut party conventions under the Connecticut law 6 at that time, in Advisory Opinion 1976-58, and concluded that party conventions 7 were elections for purposes of the Act. In 2003, however, Connecticut enacted a new law, effective as of January 8 9 1, 2004, that provides for an additional route for a candidate's name to be placed 10 on the primary ballot. See Conn. Acts 03-241. Specifically, the new law now also permits any registered member of the party, -- whether that member has 11 participated in a party convention but not received 15 percent of the endorsement 12 vote or whether he or she has not participated at all in a party convention, -- to file 13 a petition with the signatures of at least two percent of the party members in the 14 State or district (whichever applies) within 14 days after the end of the 15 convention. Connecticut Gen. Stat. section 9-400 (2003). If a petition is properly 16 filed and has the required number of signatures, the candidate will be placed on 17 18 the primary ballot along with the party-endorsed candidate. If no candidate other than the endorsed candidate qualifies by either method (i.e., by receiving at least 19 20 15 percent of the endorsement vote or by filing a petition), then the endorsed candidate is deemed to be the party's nominee and no primary election is held for 21 22 the office. Connecticut Gen. Stat. section 9-416 (2003).

22

## Question Presented

2	In light of the change in Connecticut law, do Connecticut party
3	conventions continue to constitute separate elections for purposes of determining
4	(1) whether Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee may continue to accept
5	undesignated contributions in connection with the primary election process; and
6	(2) whether Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee is required to file a pre-
7	election report for the primary election with the Commission, even though no
8	primary will be held for that office?
9	Legal Analysis and Conclusions
10	Because of the change in Connecticut law governing primary elections,
11	the Commission concludes that party conventions in Connecticut are no longer
12	elections under the Act, and that the Connecticut primaries are now the only
13	elections during the primary process. Therefore, Farrell for Congress may accept
14	undesignated primary contributions up until August 10, 2004, the day of the
15	Connecticut primaries, and, consequently, is also required to file a pre-primary
16	report no later than July 29, 2004.
17	The Act and Commission regulations define an "election" to include "a
18	general, special, primary, or runoff election" and "a convention or caucus of a
19	political party which has authority to nominate a candidate." 2 U.S.C. 431(1)(A)
20	and (B); see also 11 CFR 100.2. The Commission has previously stated that the
21	question of whether a particular event – including a convention or caucus, which

has authority to nominate a candidate – is an election, is determined by an

analysis of relevant state law. *See* Advisory Opinions 1992-25, 1986-17, and 1984-16.

In Advisory Opinion 1976-58, the Commission concluded that party conventions in Connecticut *were* elections for purposes of the Act. This was because in cases where no candidate, other than the party-endorsed candidate, garnered 15 percent of the vote and hence no primary would be held, the endorsement at the convention was "tantamount to a nomination of the candidate," and therefore the party convention had the "authority to nominate" candidates. *See also* 11 CFR 100.2(e).

Under the old Connecticut law, a candidate could secure a nomination only by going through the convention process and by obtaining at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote. Where only one candidate received at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote, the convention's nomination was final. Thus, under certain circumstances there was the potential to know with certainty within the confines of the convention that the endorsed candidate was, in fact, the party's nominee.

In contrast, under Connecticut's new law, any member of the party (even a member who did not participate in the convention process) may obtain a place on the primary ballot by filing a petition with the Secretary of State with signatures of at least two percent of the party members in the State or district (whichever applies) within 14 days after the end of the convention. Thus, candidates now have a route toward securing a party nomination that entirely bypasses the party convention. Moreover, as a result of the new law, it is no longer possible to know

21

22

1 with certainty whether the candidate endorsed at the party convention will in fact 2 be the party's nominee until at least 14 days after the convention. Thus, the 3 convention no longer has the potential authority to make a final decision on a 4 nomination under any circumstances, regardless of the outcome of the 5 endorsement vote. Whether or not the party's endorsement will amount to a 6 nomination is now entirely dependent on a condition that can be satisfied only 7 outside the confines of the convention and after the convention has taken place. 8 Therefore, the Commission concludes that under the new law, Connecticut party 9 conventions no longer have the authority to nominate candidates and, 10 consequently, are not elections for purposes of the Act. This decision is 11 consistent with Advisory Opinion 1986-17, which addressed the status of party 12 conventions under a New York law that resembled the new Connecticut law in 13 many significant respects, including the fact that it allowed a candidate to bypass 14 party conventions by filing a petition with a certain number of signatures. 15 Under the old Connecticut law, candidates could be involved in two 16 elections during the primary process - the convention and the primary election (if 17 a primary was in fact held). Consequently, in Advisory Opinion 1976-58, the Commission determined that candidates who were involved in two elections 18 19 during the primary process were entitled to two separate contribution limits. However, because Connecticut's party conventions no longer constitute separate elections, all candidates in Connecticut, including Ms. Farrell, are now restricted to only one contribution limit for the entire primary process.

23

1	Commission regulations provide that a "primary or general election which
2	is not held because a candidate is unopposed or received a majority of votes in a
3	previous election is a separate election for the purposes of the limitations on
4	contributions [and the] date on which the election would have been held
5	shall be considered to be the date of the election" (emphasis added). 11 CFR
6	110.1(j)(3). However, 11 CFR 110.1(j)(4) creates an exception to this general
7	rule. It provides that in the limited circumstances where a primary election is not
8	held specifically because a candidate was nominated by a party convention with
9	the authority to nominate, the primary election does not constitute a separate
10	election. Because the Commission had determined that under Connecticut's old
11	law, Connecticut party conventions had the authority to nominate candidates,
12	primary elections in Connecticut used to be governed by section 110.1(j)(4), and
13	therefore a primary that was not held because a candidate was nominated by a
14	convention did not constitute a separate election. However, because party
15	conventions under Connecticut's new law no longer have the authority to
16	nominate candidates, Connecticut's primary elections are now governed by 11
17	CFR 110.1(j)(3). Consequently, the August 10, 2004, primary election in
18	Connecticut is a separate election for Ms. Farrell, even though she is unopposed
19	and therefore no Democratic primary will be held for Connecticut's 4 <sup>th</sup>
20	Congressional District on that date.
21	Commission regulations provide that contributions not designated in
22	writing by the contributor for a particular election are presumed to be made for
23	the next election after the contribution is made. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(2)(ii).

1	Furthermore, "[c]ontributions designated in writing for a particular election, but
2	made after that election, shall be made only to the extent that the contribution
3	does not exceed net debts outstanding from such election." 11 CFR
4	110.1(b)(3)(i). Consequently, Farrell for Congress should treat undesignated
5	contributions made before August 10, 2004, as primary contributions. 11 CFR
6	110.3(b)(2)(ii). Farrell for Congress may presumptively redesignate these
7	contributions for the general election under the conditions described in 11 CFR
8	110.3(b)(5)(ii)(B). Farrell for Congress may also raise contributions for the
9	primary election after August 10, 2004, to the extent necessary to retire net debts
10	outstanding. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(3)(i).
11	The Act states that the treasurer of the principal campaign committee of a
12	candidate for the House of Representatives or for the Senate shall file, during
13	regularly scheduled election years "a pre-election report, which shall be filed no
14	later than the 12 <sup>th</sup> day before (or posted by registered or certified mail no later
15	than the 15 <sup>th</sup> day before) any election in which such candidate is seeking election,
16	or nomination for election, and which shall be complete as of the 20 <sup>th</sup> day before
17	such election." 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(2)(A)(i); 11 CFR 104.5(a)(2)(i)(A). A pre-
18	election report must be filed for any election, including primaries.
19	Because the August 10, 2004, primary is an election, Farrell for Congress
20	must file a pre-primary report even though Ms. Farrell is unopposed in the
21	primary and therefore not on the primary ballot. Therefore, although Farrell for

1 Congress has already submitted a pre-convention report,<sup>2</sup> it must now also submit

2 a pre-primary report no later than July 29, 2004, which is the 12<sup>th</sup> day before

3 August 10, 2004, the day of the Connecticut primaries.

The Commission recognizes that Farrell for Congress and other authorized

5 committees may have filed their April or July quarterly and pre-election reports

based on the understanding that the party conventions were separate elections.

7 Moreover, on April 7, 2004, the Commission sent pre-convention report notices to

8 Connecticut candidates that were arguably inconsistent with this advisory opinion

insofar as they appeared to require authorized committees to file pre-convention

reports and indicated that only committees involved in the August primaries

would be required to file a second pre-primary report. Because the Commission's

guidance regarding the reporting requirements for committees in Connecticut for

2004 was based on Connecticut's old law, the Commission will allow affected

committees in Connecticut to amend their reports in a manner consistent with this

advisory opinion within 30 days after the date this advisory opinion is issued.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application

of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set

forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if

19 there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or

20

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Because the May convention was not an election for purposes of the Act, Farrell for Congress was not required to file a pre-election report prior to the convention.

1	assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then		
2	the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity.		
3			
4 5	Sincerely,		
6			
7 8	Bradley A. Smith		
9	Chairman		
10 11			
12 13	Enclosures (AOs 1992-25, 1986-17, 1984-16, and 1976-58)		

1	ADVISORY OPINION 2004-20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Adam Wood Diane Farrell for Congress P.O. Box 5136 Westport, CT 06881-5136  Dear Mr. Wood:
9 10	This responds to your letter, dated June 3, 2004, on behalf of Farrell for
11	Congress, requesting an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
12	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and
13	Commission regulations to the treatment of Connecticut party conventions as
14	elections.
15	Background
16	Diane Farrell is the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of
17	Representatives from Connecticut's 4 <sup>th</sup> Congressional District. Farrell for
18	Congress is Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee. The Democratic Party
19	in Connecticut held its convention for the U.S. House on May 10, 2004. The
20	primary elections for all of Connecticut, including primaries for Federal offices,
21	are scheduled to be held on August 10, 2004. However, because the Democratic
22	Party has endorsed Ms. Farrell as its candidate for the 4 <sup>th</sup> Congressional District
23	and no other member of the Democratic Party filed a petition for candidacy by the
24	statutory deadline, Ms. Farrell is the Democratic Party's nominee and her name
25	will not appear on the primary election ballot.
26	Until January 1, 2004, Connecticut law provided that if a candidate
27	received the endorsement of his or her party at the state party's convention, and if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Farrell for Congress filed a pre-convention report with the Commission on April 28, 2004.

no other candidate received at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote at the 1 convention, then no primary would be held for that office and the party-endorsed 2 candidate would be deemed to have been lawfully chosen as the party's nominee. 3 Connecticut Gen. Stat. sections 9-400, 9-416 (2002). In 1976, the Commission 4 5 considered the status of Connecticut party conventions under the Connecticut law at that time, in Advisory Opinion 1976-58, and concluded that party conventions 6 7 were elections for purposes of the Act. 8 In 2003, Connecticut enacted a new law, effective as of January 1, 2004, that provides for an additional route for a candidate's name to be placed on the 9 10 primary ballot. See Conn. Acts 03-241. Specifically, the new law now also permits any registered member of the party, even if that member has not received 11 15 percent of the endorsement vote at a party convention, to file a petition with 12 the signatures of at least two percent of the party members in the State or district 13 (whichever applies) within 14 days after the end of the convention. Connecticut 14 Gen. Stat. section 9-400 (2003). If a petition is properly filed and has the required 15 number of signatures, the candidate will be placed on the primary ballot along 16 with the party-endorsed candidate. As under the old Connecticut law, if no 17 candidate other than the endorsed candidate qualifies by either method (i.e., by 18 receiving at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote or by filing a petition), then 19 the endorsed candidate is deemed to be the party's nominee and no primary 20 election is held for the office. Connecticut Gen. Stat. section 9-416 (2003). 21

## Question Presented

2	In light of the change in Connecticut law, do Connecticut party
3	conventions continue to constitute separate elections for purposes of determining
4	(1) whether Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee may continue to accept
5	undesignated contributions in connection with the primary election process; and
6	(2) whether Ms. Farrell's principal campaign committee is required to file a pre-
7	election report for the primary election with the Commission, even though no
8	primary will be held for that office?
9	Legal Analysis and Conclusions
10	The Commission concludes that, despite the change in Connecticut's law,
11	party conventions in Connecticut continue to be separate elections under the Act.
12	However, because Ms. Farrell is not on the ballot for the August 10, 2004,
13	primary, and because the convention is the only election in which Ms. Farrell is
14	participating during the primary process, Farrell for Congress may not accept
15	undesignated primary contributions after May 10, 2004, the date of the
16	Democratic district convention. Likewise, Farrell for Congress is not required to
17	file a second pre-primary report prior to the August 10, 2004, Connecticut
18	primary date.
19	The Act and Commission regulations define an "election" to include "a
20	general, special, primary, or runoff election" and "a convention or caucus of a
21	political party which has authority to nominate a candidate." 2 U.S.C. 431(1)(A)
22	and (B); see also 11 CFR 100.2. The Commission has previously stated that the
23	question of whether a particular event – including a convention or caucus, which

- 1 has authority to nominate a candidate is an election, is determined by an
- 2 analysis of relevant state law. See Advisory Opinions 1992-25, 1986-17, and
- 3 1984-16.
- 4 In Advisory Opinion 1976-58, the Commission concluded that party
- 5 conventions in Connecticut were elections for purposes of the Act. This was
- 6 because it was "possible under Connecticut law for the convention's 'party-
- 7 endorsed candidate' to be 'deemed . . . chosen as the nominee'" if no other
- 8 candidate received the required percentage of the delegates' votes or filed a
- 9 "candidacy" for nomination. The Commission stated that in such a case the
- 10 endorsement at the convention was "tantamount to a nomination of the
- candidate," and therefore the party convention had the "authority to nominate"
- 12 candidates. Accordingly, the Commission determined that candidates could be
- 13 involved in two elections during the primary process the convention and the
- primary election (if a primary was in fact held) and could, consequently, be
- entitled to two separate contribution limits.
- The new Connecticut law does not materially change the situation for
- 17 purposes of the Act. Under the new law, as under the old law, the potential
- 18 remains for the party-endorsed candidate to "be deemed to have been lawfully
- 19 chosen" as the party's nominee if no other candidate challenges the party's
- 20 endorsement. Connecticut Gen. Stat. section 9-416 (2003). The only difference
- 21 between Connecticut's old and new laws is that there are now two ways (i.e.,
- receiving at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote or filing a petition), rather
- than one, of challenging a party convention's endorsement. However, as the

- 1 Commission stated in Advisory Opinion 1976-58, the "fact that the party
- 2 endorsement might result in a tentative nomination subject to challenge would not
- 3 change" the fact that the party endorsement is tantamount to a nomination in cases
- 4 where no candidate succeeds in challenging the party's endorsement by obtaining
- 5 a place on the primary ballot. Where no candidate, other than the party-endorsed
- 6 candidate, obtains at least 15 percent of the endorsement vote or files a petition
- 7 for candidacy with the required number of signatures, the party-endorsed
- 8 candidate will be deemed to be the party's nominee solely by virtue of the party's
- 9 endorsement and without being required to take any additional steps to secure the
- 10 nomination. In this instance, because no primary for the 4<sup>th</sup> Congressional
- 11 District will take place, the only election Ms. Farrell was involved in during this
- primary process was the May 10, 2004, Democratic district convention. <sup>2</sup> See 11
- 13 CFR 110.1(j)(3).
- 14 Commission regulations provide that contributions not designated in
- writing by the contributor for a particular election are presumed to be made for
- the next election after the contribution is made. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(2)(ii).
- 17 Furthermore, "[c]ontributions designated in writing for a particular election, but
- 18 made after that election, shall be made only to the extent that the contribution
- 19 does not exceed net debts outstanding from such election." 11 CFR
- 20 110.1(b)(3)(i). Because the Commission has determined that the May 10, 2004,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Commission notes that your request asserts that the new Connecticut law "resembles . . . the section of the New York election code that [the Commission] reviewed in Advisory Opinion 1986-17." Because the question of whether New York party conventions constitute separate elections under the Act is not relevant to any activities Farrell for Congress is presently undertaking or intends to undertake, the Commission expresses no opinion on the current status of New York party conventions. *See* 11 CFR 112.1(b).

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Democratic district convention was the only election Ms	s. Farrell was involved in
---	---	----------------------------

- during the primary process, Farrell for Congress must treat undesignated 2
- contributions made after May 10, 2004, the date of the Democratic district 3
- convention, as contributions to the general election. 11 CFR 110.3(b)(2)(ii). 4
- 5 However, Farrell for Congress may use contributions raised after May 10, 2004,
- to the extent necessary to retire net debts outstanding. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(3)(i). 6
- 7 The Act states that the treasurer of the principal campaign committee of a candidate for the House of Representatives or for the Senate shall file, during 8 regularly scheduled election years "a pre-election report, which shall be filed no 9 later than the 12<sup>th</sup> day before (or posted by registered or certified mail no later 10 than the 15<sup>th</sup> day before) any election in which such candidate is seeking election, or nomination for election, and which shall be complete as of the 20th day before
- such election." 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(2)(A)(i); 11 CFR 104.5(a)(2)(i)(A). A pre-13 election report must be filed for any election, including primaries. 14
  - Because the May 10, 2004, convention was an election and no primary will be held for the 4<sup>th</sup> Congressional District on August 10, 2004, Farrell for Congress has fulfilled its pre-election reporting requirement by filing its preconvention report and need not file a pre-primary report before the August 10, 2004, primary.
  - This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or

1	assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then		
2	the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity.		
3			
4		Sincerely,	
5 6			
7			
8		Bradley A. Smith	
9		Chairman	
10			
11			
12	Enclosures	(AOs 1992-25, 1986-17, 1984-16, and 1976-58)	
13			